



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,758	11/14/2005	Koji Aoyama	SON-2803	6895
23353	7590	09/26/2008	EXAMINER	
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			BLOOM, NATHAN J	
LION BUILDING				
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/524,758	AOYAMA ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
NATHAN BLOOM	2624	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) NATHAN BLOOM. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) N. Meyere Zohn (Reg. 55761). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 July 2008

**Time:** 10:30 AM EST

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Claims 3, 9, and 14 in view of JP H11-196313*

Claims discussed:

*3,9, 11-12, and 14*

Prior art documents discussed:

*JP H11-196313*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed (07/22/2008) that the independent claims 3, 9, and 14 be amended to overcome the newly cited prior art document JP H11-19631. Furthermore, correction of the dependency of claims 11-12 (dependent on cancelled claim) was proposed by the Examiner. Applicant and Examiner discussed (07/22/2008) and approved (07/31/2008) an amendment (see Examiner's Amendment for details) to claims 3, 9, 11-12, and 14.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

07/31/2008

/N. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

